

Broadcast 50 Newsletter

www.broadcast50.com

“Alternative to mainstream news sources and entertainment”

Please visit the U.S Military Virtual Memorial Wall at: www.usmvmw.us
 And the Hawaii Virtual Memorial Wall at www.broadcast50.com
 “Honoring those who served and paid the ultimate price”

Health Care Bill —Violation of the 5th Amendment or Unconstitutional Direct Tax?

Sen. Akaka Says ‘I’m Not Aware’ of Constitution Giving Congress Authority to Make Individuals Buy Health Insurance

Both House and Senate health care bills mandate that American citizens buy health insurance and may be subject to being fined up to \$750 per adult by the IRS if they do not comply with the terms of the legislative bill.

In a recent interview held in Washington a CNSNews.com reporter asked Hawaii Senator Daniel Akaka, “Is there any specific area of the Constitution that would give Congress the authority to be able to mandate individuals to have to purchase health insurance?”

In the video taped interview the Senator replied ; “Not in particular with health insurance. It’s not covered in that respect. But in ways to help citizens in our country to live a good life, let me say it that way, is what we’re trying to do, and in this case, we’re trying to help them with their health.”

The follow-up question by the reporter was, “If a bill comes to the floor with that actual mandate in it, the final bill, after both proposals are merged, would you support that bill?” Senator Akaka’s response was: “Well, I’m inclined to support the bill that’s there now and reserve, you know, the right to look at it up to the last moment and see what happens. For Hawaii, it – we’ve been able to have it support what we’re doing in Hawaii. We think we have a good plan in Hawaii too. So, that’s where we are on this.”

As far as the government making health insurance mandatory, some supporters of this mandate argue that it is the same as being required by states to have automobile insurance. The counter argument is that you are not required to carry auto

insurance if you don't drive. Therefore it cannot truly be considered mandatory, because quite a few citizens do not operate a motor vehicle, and thus have no need to be insured. The same applies to plumbers and carpenters - in most cases they must be insured/bonded, which does not apply to the general public unless we all decide to become plumbers ourselves.



Another argument is that a mandate violates the Fifth Amendment ban on the government taking property without due process of law. But that ban usually applies to real property and the insurance that the person receives might be seen as just compensation required by the amendment.

Also, some scholars have been debating whether the mandate would be an unconstitutional direct tax. The consensus is that it is not. Taxing a person for not buying insurance is no different than for not filing an income return, Congress could just call it a penalty rather than a tax.

A feeling shared by many is that by allowing unconstitutional laws to be passed, regardless of their intent or their public good, cannot possibly be considered anything but negative, especially since they'll undoubtedly pave the way for additional federal laws which further violate our constitutional protections.

Sen. Jack Reed (D.-R.I.) was asked where specifically the Constitution authorized Congress to mandate that individuals buy health insurance, said that he “would have to check the specific sections” but said that it was like making people sign up for the draft.

Health Insurance Reform Debate– Go by the facts not feelings

HealthReform.gov— “The Obama Administration is committed to enacting health insurance reform that provides health care stability and security for all Americans”

money.cnn.com (5 key Freedoms that you will lose in health care reform)

1. Freedom to choose what's in your plan
2. Freedom to be rewarded for healthy living, or pay your real costs
3. Freedom to choose high-deductible coverage
4. Freedom to keep your existing plan
5. Freedom to choose your doctors

Health and Human Services Department Expanding Under Proposed Health Care Reform Bill - Is Bigger, Better? (See Page 6)

“Trust But Verify”

References: www.cnsnews.com/news/article/56971 www.cbsnews.com/blogs/2009/09/21/taking_liberties/entry5328314.shtml
<http://reason.com/blog/2009/09/18/would-mandatory-health-insuran>
www.drudge.com/archive/124372/lawyers-claim-mandatory-insurance

Coping with the Copenhagen Treaty

The **United Nations Climate Change Conference** will be held in Copenhagen, starting 7 Dec and ending on the 18th. Many constitutionalist and others believe that compared to the “sneak attack” that took place 68 years before at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, this conference will be far more devastating to the sovereignty of the United States. According to **Lord Christopher Monckton**, a former science adviser to British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher this treaty “would cede U.S. sovereignty, mandate a massive wealth transfer from the United States to pay reparations for "climate debt" to Third World countries and create a new "world government" to enforce the treaty's provisions.

Additional warning about this “**Climate Change Conference**” come from Jeff Davis, President of the Minnesota Majority who stated that “The more people learn about the supposed issue of 'climate change' and how green extremists intend to control our lives, the more skeptical they become”. Adding also that “Green extremists will be back in force trying to advance both domestic cap-and-trade legislation and an international climate treaty that will rob us of our liberties and grant government more control over our lives”.

At the Minnesota **Free Market Institute at Bethel University** in St. Paul where Lord Monckton made his remarks, he asserted also that “Your president will sign it. Most of the Third World countries will sign it, because they think they're going to get money out of it. Most of the left-wing regimes from the European Union will rubber-stamp it. Virtually nobody won't sign it," "I read that treaty and what it says is this: that a world government is going to be created. The word 'government' actually appears as the first of three purposes of the new entity."

Supporters of the conference such as incoming **Conferences of the Parties (COP15) President, Connie Hedegaard** says that “If the world fails to deliver a political agreement at the UN climate conference in December, it will be “the whole global democratic system not being able to deliver results in one of the defining challenges of our century”. “If we don't deliver in Copenhagen, then I cannot see when again you can build up a similar pressure on all the governments of this world to deliver. So I think we should be very, very cautious not to miss the opportunity, it would be irresponsible not to use the momentum now”. **Herman Van Rompuy** the first President of the European Union, recently gave a speech stating his belief that 2009 is the first year of global governance with the establishment of the G20 in the middle of the financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step toward the global management of our planet”

Opponents of the conference now point to documents and e-mails retrieved by a computer hacker from the **University of East Anglia's Climate Research Unit (CRU)** that indicate top climate-change scientists have manipulated data to hide cooling trends and worked together to marginalize scientists with opposing view. Senator James Inhofe, says he knew that scientists were “**cooking**” the information years ago. Adding that he would ask for an investigation into the United Nations and its climate-change committee "on the way they cooked the science to make this thing look as if the science was settled, when all the time of course we knew it was not."

Bob Ward, a climate change expert at the **London School of Economics and Political Science**, believes world leaders will pay little attention to the scandal surrounding the CRU, arguing that politics, not science, will decide the fate of the Copenhagen summit. "The politicians won't be swayed by this," he said. "It's basic physics that the world is being warmed by greenhouse gases, and politicians can see through the sceptics' arguments. If Copenhagen fails to produce an agreement, it won't be because of these emails. And in the US, President Obama's cap and trade bills will be decided by 12 or 13 Democratic senators who represent states with large coal and oil reserves."

References: <http://en.cop15.dk/news/view+news?newsid=2257>, <http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=116256> <http://en.cop15.dk/>, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Climate_Change_Conference_2009, <http://www.nocapandtrade.com/>, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Nations_Framework_Convention_on_Climate_Change <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/copenhagen-climate-change-confe/6672875/Whos-to-blame-for-Climategate.html>

Defend Yourself Before You Leave For Deployment.

Submitted by Terrance Popp

Secondclasscitizen.org

Deployments are not getting shorter and realistically there is no end in sight. You must take the following action to ensure you do not return home and find everything gone after a long deployment overseas. Here are the bitter facts, 67% of all deployed soldiers return to a divorce 90% will be divorced within 5 years of returning from deployment. For most this will end your military career because of financial and emotional destruction. You cannot defend yourself against the emotional scarring and emotional pain you will suffer. But you can take steps to limit the financial damage caused by a spouse who goes rogue while their spouse is deployed. It is this added stress that has become a driving force behind the rash of military and veteran suicides. 70% of military and veteran suicides have had some type of contact, or pending contact with the family court system. You must remember JAG will not assist you at all in civil matters. You must assist yourself.

Take the following steps to ensure you have some degree of peace of mind. It will cost you a from a retainer fee: But if you need outside intervention this is your ace in the hole. When in the box absolutely no one will come to your aid back at home unless you do the following.

1. The day you get your mobilization order seek an attorney and put down a retainer fee.
 2. Sign a power of attorney for the attorney to handle your affairs while you are deployed.
 3. Make your power of attorney specific, give the attorney the power to stop any mortgages, credit card applications. Allow him/her access to view your credit and intercede on your behalf if credit is attempted in your name while you are gone.
 4. Arm your attorney with a copy of your mobilization orders and a copy of the SCRA a new law for deployed soldiers and service members.
 - a. This act limits lawsuits and divorces from being carried out while you are deployed. Make sure your lawyer knows this up front. Most are unfamiliar with this and so are the courts.
 - b. Have your attorney on standby to file this paperwork with the court if the worst should happen while you are deployed.
 - c This will give you legal standing in Federal court if have a judgment of any kind put against you while deployed.
 5. Open a bank account only in your name and fill out a direct deposit forms. Leave a copy with your attorney to take to the Finance office and you keep a copy to file with Finance in country.
 - a. This will allow you to control your money. Returning home after 15 months away to a zero bank account is devastating to say the least.
 - b. Allowing you to control your money gives you a huge advantage in case of divorce and separation
 - c. Almost never will a soon to be X spouse be ordered to return any money that was spend and or squandered.
- Remove any personal property from the household that has any significant value to you. The most common items are gun collections, extra cars, motorcycles and boats. Make sure you have copies of any pictures and records that are of value to you and have them stored off sight.

Defend Yourself Before You Leave For Deployment.

(Continued)

Submitted by Terrance Popp

Too many service men have returned home to finding out that everything they owned was sold and or given away by their spouse.

- A. Get an off post storage area to hold your items and pay it one year in advance. Keep it only in your name.
 - B. Make sure you notify them that only you are to have access to this storage.
 - C. Again any property left is up for grabs and will more than likely be sacrificed and lost forever in a divorce.
 - D. No judge will ever order the return of property that was a joint marital asset if it was disposed of before a divorce was filed.
6. For servicemen with children Pre fill out a motion for divorce with a parenting plan and leave it with your attorney. Have him file this if the following should happen.
- A. Your spouse moves away or out of state with your child while you are deployed
 - B. Your spouse suddenly disappears while you are deployed
 - C. You feel you have to file for divorce.

This is done to ensure that the divorce is handled where you live not in another state hundreds of miles away from you. In most cases, if the spouse can set up residence in another state for 6 months they can file for divorce and that state will handle the case. In most instances, you have lost your children if this is allowed to happen.

7. If the worst happens and you have to implement this plan your actions in protecting yourself may cause your chain-of-command to get involved. If this happens refer them to your attorney and remind them that JAG does not handle civil matter. This will make you unpopular but in most instances you can stall long enough until you return. Some of these steps may sound harsh but we are talking about outside forces that may control the rest of your life. I am not saying don't trust your wife, what I am saying is take control over your destiny and guarantee some piece of mind for yourself when you have to march off to war. Anything can happen.


Again a quick review

1. Jag cannot help you in a civil matter.
2. Get an attorney; It is better to have and not need, then to need and not have.
3. Arm your attorney with the tools he needs to fight for you and you in your absence.
4. Remove your valuables from the home and store them somewhere else.
5. Pre-fill out a motion for divorce; Your attorney should to do this before you leave. It is only a precaution if the worst should happen.
6. Get a standby bank account to preserve your financial standing if the worst should happen.
7. Most important keep these actions to yourself. If the worst should happen, you want surprise and protection your side.
8. If you successfully execute this simple plan your chain of command will more than likely get involved. Remind them it is a civil matter. If they insist in involving themselves in your personal affairs file an IG complaint. Most of the time this will buy you the time to return and handle your business.

This is just some friendly advice from someone who has been there ,and done that, and lived to tell the tale.

Clearing The Air—Getting the Facts Straight

Subject: Dr. William (Bill) Cosby For Write-In Candidate



Dr. William H. Cosby Jr., is described by many as an American comedian, actor, author, television producer, musician and activist. He earned a Doctor Of Education degree from the University of Massachusetts and is also acknowledged as a humanitarian who is not always “politically correct” when it comes to addressing social issues. The following has been circulating on-line and has been attributed to Mr. Cosby:

I HAVE DECIDED TO BECOME A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT IN THE YEAR 2012. HERE IS MY PLATFORM:

- (1). Any use of the phrase: 'Press 1 for English' is immediately banned. English is the official language; speak it or wait outside of our borders until you can.
- (2). We will immediately go into a two year isolationist attitude in order to straighten out the greedy big business posture in this country. America will allow NO imports, and we'll do no exports. We will use the 'Wal-Mart 's policy, 'If we ain't got it, you don't need it.' We'll make it here and sell it here!
- (3). When imports are allowed, there will be a 100% import tax on it coming in here.
- (4). All retired military personnel will be required to man one of the many observation towers located on the southern border of the United States (six month tour). They will be under strict orders *not* to fire on SOUTHBOUND aliens..
- (5). Social Security will immediately return to its *original state*. If you didn't put nuttin in, you ain't gettin nuttin out. Neither the President nor any other politician will be able to touch it.
- (6). Welfare. -- Checks will be handed out on Fridays, at the end of the 40 hour school week, the successful completion of a urinalysis test for drugs, and passing grades.
- (7). Professional Athletes -- Steroids? The FIRST time you check positive you're banned from sports ... for life.
- (8). Crime -- We will adopt the Turkish method, i.e., the first time you steal, you lose your right hand. There is no more 'life sentences'. If convicted of murder, you will be put to death by the same method you chose for the victim you killed: gun, knife, strangulation, etc.
- (9). One export of ours will be allowed: wheat; because the world needs to eat. However, a bushel of wheat will be the exact price of a barrel of oil.
- (10). All foreign aid, using American taxpayer money, will immediately cease and the saved money will help to pay off the national debt and, ultimately, lower taxes. When disasters occur around the world, we'll ask The American People if they want to donate to a disaster fund and each citizen can make the decision as to whether, or not, it's a worthy cause..
- (11). The Pledge of Allegiance will be said every day at school and every day in Congress.
- (12). The National Anthem will be played at all appropriate ceremonies, sporting events, outings, etc.

My apology is offered if I've stepped on anyone's toes.... nevertheless....

GOD BLESS AMERICA ! Sincerely, Bill Cosby

Straight Fact: Response by Mr.Cosby on “Facebook “My comments yesterday were specifically written to address the Write-in for President Platform, which is a hoax. I did not write or have anything to do with the "Bill Cosby's Platform" circulating on the Internet. This has been falsely attributed to me.

References: www.snopes.com/politics/soapbox/platform.asp www.billcosby.com/ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bill_Cosby

Health and Human Services Department **Expanding Under Proposed Health Care Reform Bill Is Bigger, Better?**

Recently Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary Kathleen Sebelius issued a statement which followed the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force' recommendation that said for most women it isn't necessary to have mammograms in their 40s but should have one every two years starting at 50. The recommendations from the task force have left women across the country confused, and in many cases angry about which advice to take.

Addressing this issue, Secretary Sebelius made this statement: "My message to women is simple. Mammograms have always been an important lifesaving tool in the fight against breast cancer and they still are today. Keep doing what you have been doing for years -- talk to your doctor about your individual history, ask questions and make the decision that is right for you".

But now, under the Senate version of the Health Care Reform Bill, Secretary Sebelius would be given sweeping new powers including the authority to decide what medical care should be covered by insurers as well as the terms and conditions of coverage and who should receive it. Opponents of changing health care policy point out the "mammogram recommendation" as an example of what could be expected from government-managed care.

The HHS Secretary would rely on the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force for recommendations as to what kind of screening and preventive care should be covered. Other powers granted the Secretary are:

- Power to decide where abortion is allowed under a government-run plan.
- Empowered to establish a Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation that would have the authority to make cost-saving cuts without having to get the approval of Congress first. Also, as pointed out on Page 122 of the 2,079-page bill, the secretary is given the power to establish "the basic per enrollee, per month cost, determined on average actuarial basis, for including coverage under a qualified health care plan."

In a recent interview, Edmund Haislmaier, an expert in health care policy and insurance industry at the Heritage Foundation stated the following about the Senate' proposal. "It's a huge amount of power being shifted to HHS, and much of it is highly discretionary". Adding that the greatest powers HHS would gain from the bill is the authority to regulate insurance. States currently hold that power, and under the Senate bill, the federal government would deprive them of this authority, possibly leading to the federal government putting restrictions and changes in place that destabilize the private insurance market by forcing companies to lower premiums and other charges.

"The legislation lists 1,697 times where the secretary of health and humans services is given the authority to create, determine or define things in the bill," said Devon Herrick, a health care expert at the National Center for Policy Analysis. Critics of the bill say this was an example of how the new bill could empower HHS to alter health care delivery.

References:

<http://wcbstv.com/national/kathleen.sebelius.mammograms.2.1320700.html> <http://www.hhs.gov/secretarysebelius.html>

<http://features.csmonitor.com/politics/2009/11/17/senate-healthcare-reform-bill-whats-taking-so-long/>

Computer “Glitch” Causes Financial Nightmare For Millions

There was a story that was covered by many news sources on the east coast but went unreported in most main stream press agencies outside the impacted area which mainly covered three states. For approximately three days a computer “glitch” impacted the lives of 6.5 million Americans who had accounts with the TD Bank and nearly created a run on the bank. TD is the second-largest bank in the Philadelphia area based on local deposits with 270 branches.

Spokeswoman Rebecca Acevedo said the root of the problem began when the Toronto-based TD attempted to integrate its systems with that of Cherry Hill, N.J.-based Commerce Bank, which it acquired last year. Further elaborating that there were delays in the bank’s overnight transactions postings, which essentially meant that customers could not see their balances past the previous days 6 p.m postings . To repair the problem, Acevedo said, TD had to shut down Internet banking to all customers but hoped to have that restored in a relatively short period of time.

TD Bank also dismissed rumors made on Twitter and other social networking sites, that customers could not use ATM machines and reassured them that they could still make withdrawals and deposits but just could not access their balances in real time. But despite the reassurances from the bank concerned customers like Julius Lake said. "I don't know what to do. I have direct deposit. It is supposed to be direct in my account today, but it's not in there. I have a negative balance." Lake and his wife were unable to access their account information and called the number listed online for help, but got a recording. Another customer David Perkins, a Surgery Technician at Lenox Hill Hospital said his direct deposit paycheck was missing. "I went to get money so I could pay rent and stuff and I didn't have any funds available in my account," he said.

TD Bank issued a statement saying the problems will all be resolved very soon and pledged to reverse any "fees, charges or interest incurred" as a result of the disruption. "Very frustrating, very aggravating and scary," was the sentiment of Adam Weinberg of Prospect Park Brooklyn who was shocked to find a bank balance of nothing. Could a “glitch” happen with your bank, instantly turning you into a pauper for a period of time? Most banking experts and government financial officials say “Highly unlikely” but apparently not impossible.

In a related story, Senators John Rockefeller (D-W. Va.) and Olympia Snowe (R-Maine) recently introduced a bill ([The Cybersecurity Act of 2009](#)) to establish the Office of the National Cybersecurity Advisor, an arm of the executive branch that would have vast power to monitor and control Internet traffic to protect against threats to critical cyber infrastructure.

Many civil libertarians feel that the bill does not only add to the power of the President, but also grants the Secretary of Commerce "access to all relevant data concerning [critical] networks without regard to any provision of law, regulation, rule, or policy restricting such access." This means the possibility of monitoring or accessing any data on private or public networks without regard to privacy laws.

Jennifer Granick, civil liberties director at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, says that granting such power to the Commerce secretary could actually cause networks to be less safe. When one person can access all information on a network, "it makes it more vulnerable to intruders," Granick says. "You've basically established a path for the bad guys to skip down."

Can access to your personal finances be limited or disrupted by the government under the provisions of [The Cybersecurity Act of 2009](#)? According to research conducted by Ed Morrissey the answer is yes! He points out that under SEC. 23. DEFINITIONS of the act:

(3) FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND UNITED STATES CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS.

— The term “Federal government and United States critical infrastructure information systems and networks” includes —

Federal Government information systems and networks; and

(B) State, local, and nongovernmental information systems and networks in the United States designated by the President as critical infrastructure information systems and networks.

Mr. Morrissey states that there are no criteria in this bill to say what systems or networks could be declared critical and that the closest thing he could find is this supporting quote from something called the February 2003 National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace.

“...our nation’s critical infrastructures are composed of public and private institutions in the sectors of agriculture, food, water, public health, emergency services, government, defense industrial base, information and telecommunications, energy, transportation, **banking finance**, chemicals and hazardous materials, and postal and shipping.”

He continued saying that this bill most certainly gives the President the unopposed ability to shut down all or part of the internet. And he won’t need any more reason than that of “national security” to do so. Many who following the dollars standing in the world market are asking: Could a “National Bank Holiday” be declared due to a Global Banking Shutdown and allow the plug to be pulled on financial transactions? Those familiar with the history of the banking system in the U.S will recall the “Bank Holiday” declared by President Roosevelt in 1933. A modern day example of the possibility is when In Rhode Island in 1991, the governor declared a state-wide bank holiday, all the state-chartered savings banks were closed down. Every single citizen with money in one of those banks was locked out.

According to a report posted on the istockanalyst.com website: It is simply not reasonable to expect that governments will have the resources to immediately meet the demands of thousands of institutions and millions of individuals if they all want their money back at roughly the same time. “Your money is still safely guaranteed,” banking officials will declare. “You just can’t have it now.”

On October 11, 2008, a single statement was issued by the Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) sent out to the international wire services : “Intensifying solvency concerns about a number of the largest U.S.-based and European financial institutions have pushed the global financial system to the brink of systemic meltdown.” **Be prepared, do your financial planning homework.**

References istockanalyst.com/article/viewarticlepaged/articleid/2828130/pageid/1# www.motherjones.com/politics/2009/04/should-obama-control-internet
<http://philadelphia.bizjournals.com/philadelphia/stories/2009/09/28/daily30.html>



Political Common Sense

Submitted by Mr. Brian Boheim

Fair Tax – More Pain then Gain

I've heard my fill of the Fair Tax. The concept of a consumption tax is misunderstood and miscalculated. It is once again focused on making the hardest working people pay the most.

First, in order for the Fair tax to work it will have to impose a sales tax on all goods of between 17% and 24%. This is money that will have to be collected by retailers and sent into the government. The amount of overhead required to make this a reality will drive operating costs up and in turn drive the cost of goods up 3% to 5%. So, you will have to pay 20% to 29% more for everything you buy. Won't that slow consumption and slow the economy?

Next, the government has what I terms as 20% friction. That means for every dollar you put in, the people only get 80 cents back. This is important since the low income earners will have to be sent \$600 checks every month to make sure they are not paying too much. So, \$750 will be collected, but only \$600 will be given back. How is that fair to citizens below the poverty level?

Lastly, it is important to realize that people will go the path of least resistance. That means they will search out ways to avoid this tax. The inevitable result is the growth of a black market sub economy. The black market will end up funding organized crime and gangs. If you don't think so, then you're ignoring how many people buy from the internet to avoid local and state taxes.

The reality is that the Fair tax was poorly thought out. Not only have proponents ignored the math, but they have also neglected to examine the unintended consequences. Realize too, that a federal sales tax was what initially drove the colonists to revolt against the British. There is a reason; it is unfair to the people who provide the most to the economy.

Contact Information

To subscribe, make comments, suggestions, constructive criticisms or submit articles for publication:

Call (808) 551-3701 or send email to:
calvingriffin@rocketmail.com

Garage Sales New Government Policy Imposes Strict Standards on Nationwide

Recently the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) enacted the "Resale Round-up," which enforces new limits on lead in children's products and makes it illegal to sell any items that don't meet those limits or have been recalled for any other reason.

Violators caught selling anything on the enormous list face fines of up to \$100,000 per infraction and up to \$15 million for a related series of infractions. CPSC spokesman Scott Wolfson says the fines are intended for large companies with serious infractions. In order to comply, stores, flea markets, charities and individuals selling used goods whether in person or online are expected to consult the commission's **Handbook for Resale Stores and Product Resellers** before offering items to the public.

Wes Benedict, Executive Director of the Libertarian National Committee's during a TV interview says "its absurd when nanny-state bureaucrats want to regulate things we buy at mom-and-pop shops or second-hand stores." "Consumer product safety is best left to a free market where suppliers can compete based on reputation and track records. American grown-ups aren't stupid, and they know they need to be careful about what they buy for their children from complete strangers at no-name stores."

Bob Massi a Legal Analyst for FOX News, says the law makes no distinction for families and small resellers. "Most people having garage sales at this point don't have much anyway, so to have a fine levied against them is tantamount to harassment, and if you or I asked 100 people about this, they would never even know the law exists."

WHAT YOU CANNOT SELL OR OFFER FOR SALE:

- Products that have been recall by CPSC
- Toys and other articles intended for use by children, and any furniture, with paint or other surface coatings containing lead over specified amounts.
- Product primarily intended for children age 12 or younger with led content over a specific amount.
- Certain toys or children care articles that contain any one of six prohibited chemicals known as phthalates, which are primarily used as plasticizers
- Other products that violate CPSC' safety standards, bans, rules or regulations or otherwise present a substantial product hazard.

References: FOXnews.com, <http://www.cpsc.gov/cpsc/pub/pubs/thrift/thrguid.pdf> <http://amendthecpsia.com/tag/resale-roundup/>